

MINUTES
ALTA TOWN COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 10, 2011 – 10:00 A.M.
ALTA COMMUNITY CENTER
ALTA, UTAH

1. The Mayor called the meeting to order. All members of the Town Council were present.
2. Mayor's Report

Tom Pollard reported that our 2010 census for the Town of Alta went up from 370 to 383.

The Mayor reported on some sad news in our community. The Town has lost Red Altum who has been a fixture in our community for years, as well as Dorothy Wolfe, a cabin owner in the Basin.

The weather has been keeping Alta Central busy over the course of the last month and the Mayor thanked everyone for their efforts. The Mayor reported that there will be traffic metering through the month of March at which point the Town will take a look at the need for continuing this service.

The Mayor reported on the monthly road meeting held on March 1st.

On February 18th a meeting was held with Qwest, UPD, UFA, Alta Ski Lifts and the Town where there was a debriefing on the circumstances surrounding the communication failure in December of 2010. Qwest reported that someone in the valley was excavating without permission near the office that provides the signal to the Town's microwave. They cut the connection past the split which caused the outage. At this meeting there was further discussion on how to create some redundancy in the canyon when it comes to our link with Qwest. It was also mentioned that the only equipment that worked were the old handheld radios. There was also discussion on the use of the MITS vehicles in emergencies.

The Mayor reported on the monthly UFA meeting where they discussed the funding of emergency services in the canyons. The Mayor also attends and is part of the benefits committee with UFA.

The Mayor asked John to report on the Forest Service Watershed committee. John reported that the Forest Service wanted to get a public/private partnership going between the Forest Service, Town of Alta, the ski areas, Salt Lake City, and Salt Lake County. There was a mandate from the under Under Secretary of the Department of Agriculture to create a pilot project in the Salt Lake Watershed that can be used as a model throughout the United States. The Forest Service was on a fast track timetable and

wanted to finalize this program by the end March. At this point in time John reported that he has heard nothing more about this process.

The Mayor reported that the budget committee of the Town Council met to start preliminary work on the budget for the 2011/2012 fiscal year. The Mayor is projecting that the Town's revenue will be flat or somewhat up for next year. The second meeting of the committee will be on Friday, March 11, 2011 at 1:30 pm.

The Mayor reported on the executive committee for the Canyon Transportation Study where they are addressing transportation issues in Little and Big Cottonwood Canyons. The committee is trying to firm up funding from the participants for the cost of the study which could be around \$400,000. This total includes the cost of the parking study. They are projecting that the study will be completed by 2012.

Kate reported that AVB/Alta chamber committee will provide an update in the April meeting for the Town Council.

The Mayor reported that there have been letters written to Salt Lake County and Mayor Corroon by residents on the issue of the proposed mountain coaster at Snowbird. The County has responded in a letter addressing the process that was followed as it relates to this proposal. The letter opined that the process showed that logic and personal preference does not weigh as much as the application of the applicable ordinances. The Mayor reported that he will continue to make sure that any issues that affect the Town of Alta, more specifically transportation issues, are monitored and addressed with the County.

The Mayor reported on a preliminary meeting that Dave Richards and he had with Keith Hanson and Steve McIntosh on water and sewer improvement projects that the Town plan on addressing this summer.

The Town of Alta is working with Salt Lake County Elections on having them provide municipal election services this fall. They have done a cost analysis and it has been determined that Salt Lake County can provide election services for about the same cost that the Town would spend conducting its own election.

The Mayor mentioned that after the February Town Council meeting there was a question about the statement that appears at the bottom of the agenda; it reads "Motions relating to any of the foregoing, including final action, may be taken at the meeting." Someone thought that this meant that final action would be taken on all items that appeared on the agenda. The Mayor explained that the key word in this statement is "may" which allows the Town Council to taken action on any item appearing on the agenda. It does not say we "must" take action.

2. Treasurer's Report

Marc Dippo read the monthly report which is attached to the minutes.

Hearing no comments or questions, there was a motion by Dave Richards to approve the treasurer's report as submitted. There was a second by Steve Gilman and the motion was carried.

3. Departmental Reports

Town Administrator's Report: John reported on the lawsuits; The View, Melville and the Shrontz Estate.

John reported that there have been no building permits issued since October. There have been, however, three permit applications have been submitted to the Town. All three are going through the process with the Town's building department: Forsgren.

John reported on the unsafe appliances report from Questar. The report mentioned a couple of situations reported in Alta, all of which have been addressed.

John reported on the February 17th Alta Planning Commission meeting. There was a public hearing on the Land Use Application Ordinance and a presentation by Meg Ryan on the Town's Master Plan. Ms. Ryan will continue assisting the Commission with this effort and the next meeting of this group will be on March 24th at Market Street Cottonwood.

John gave an update on the legislative session which ends today, March 11th. John mentioned the issue of the proposed GRAMA legislation, HB477, and how it relates to cities and towns.

Assistant Town Administrator's Report: Claire Runge added to the legislative news by reporting that the legislature did pass the alcohol reform act. It allows for easier transfer of licenses.

Claire reported that the Town has starting collecting data for the Waste Management Study and a survey has been sent out to residents and the smaller businesses in the Town. Claire will be meeting personally with the bigger businesses on how they handle trash collection.

Claire reported that the Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) is almost complete.

Bill Barron has approached the Town and FOA on his organization called the Citizens Climate Lobby that is trying to push for federal legislation of carbon. Handouts are available to the public.

Maura and Claire have met with Utah Clean Energy this month to start a dialogue between communities in Utah that are interested in pursuing clean and renewable energy. Those in attendance were Park City, Salt Lake City, Salt Lake County and the Town of Alta.

Claire reported on a ski around in Alta with a representative from the Traffic Operation Center (TOC). Claire was able to show her some of our traffic issues in the canyon on a busy day in the canyon.

Claire mentioned two events coming up in the Town of Alta: tonight the Alta School is putting on a play at Our Lady of the Snows Center and on April 22nd the first annual Alta Earth Day Event will be held.

Town Marshal's Report: Mike Morey read his monthly report which is attached to the minutes.

Mike reported briefly on two fraud cases that are ongoing in the Town.

UFA Report: Mike Kelsey thanked the Town for including UFA in the communication meeting with Qwest. The Mayor again opined that this incident does expose a vulnerability that we have in the canyon as it relates to communication breakdowns. We actually need a hard line up the canyon to help prevent another incident like this from occurring. Mike added that a positive thing came out of the meeting where by any 911 call coming from the canyon will be, in the future, will be automatically routed directly to Alta Central instead of going through VECC downtown and then to Alta Central. Mike went on to also explain that we also learned that Qwest is the only game in Town.

4. Minutes – January 13, 2011 Alta Town Council Meeting

There was a motion by Paul Moxley to approve the minutes of the January 13, 2011, Town Council meeting. There was a second by Cliff Curry. Hearing no questions, comments or corrections a vote was taken and the motion was carried.

5. Minutes – February 10, 2011 Alta Town Council meeting

The Mayor pointed out in the Marshal's report where Mike was reviewing the traffic metering, the word metering was left out.

There was a motion by Steve Gilman to approve the minutes of the February 10, 2011, Town Council meeting with the aforementioned correction noted. There was a second by Dave Richards. Hearing no further comments, questions or corrections, a vote was taken and the motion was carried.

6. Resolution 2011-R-1: A resolution establishing a maximum number of dog licenses to be issued for 2011 and fees to be charged for licenses.

The Mayor explained that the current animal control ordinance establishes the number of licenses to be issued in any given year by taking 12% of the current census population. With our population now at 383, the number of dog licenses that could be issued is 46.

The Mayor went on to opine that due to the pressure and requests that he gets for temporary dogs license during the year plus with a response from the Marshal's office on the small number of complaints on this issue, the Mayor wants to increase the percentage. The Mayor went on to say that if we increase the percentage to 13% the number would go from 46 to 50 licenses and if we increase the percentage to 14% the number would go from 46 to 54 licenses. If the Council were to increase the number, the Mayor reminded them that they do not necessarily have to issue all of those additional licenses at once.

The Mayor asked for comments from the Council. Dave Richards supported the Mayor's recommendation to increase the percentage. As a result of this suggestion by the Mayor, Dave recommended that the Council sit on this resolution and re-open the animal control ordinance and address both at the April meeting. At the April meeting the Council can address the option of changing the percentage in the ordinance that could allow more dog licenses to be issued for 2011.

The Mayor commented that there are more and more people buying homes in Alta that come to Alta for longer periods of time and want to bring their dogs. Accordingly the Mayor feels pressure to issue more and more temporary tags for these people and in fact does give temporary tags to these folks. There are also full time residents that rent or care-take places in Alta that have also requested temporary tags from the Mayor.

The Mayor recommended that we continue on the same schedule where people may submit their names for the dog license drawing during the month of April.

Staff will email a link to the dog license ordinance to the Town Council for their review.

Steve Gilman wanted to know if we should look people sharing one license as it relates to those that come for shorter periods of time. He opined that some of these homeowners would probably be satisfied with having a dog license for 60 days over the course of the year which would eliminate having to issue one license for every homeowner when they come to visit.

Dave asked if we would need to create a new class of license called a "shared class". The Mayor questioned that if we have one license that is shared by four people each getting 60 days, there is a chance that all four people could be in Alta at the same time. The Mayor felt that having summer and winter licenses would not work because the majority of people request temporary licenses in the winter and not the summer. Enforcement might be an issue with shared licenses as well.

Dave felt that any change to the dog ordinance should be simple as possible.

Cliff asked if the number per class would still be determined by resolution. Kate confirmed that that would be the case. If the Council chooses to make amendments to the ordinance, the Town must notice and post this amendment.

Tracy Kirkham from Salt Lake City Watershed Department asked if the Town Council would take comments from their department on this issue before they took action. Tracy has a bit of an issue with the concept of a temporary license. The Mayor welcomed comments from Salt Lake City on this issue but also explained that it would be nice to get some of the people who are issued temporary licenses on to the permanent license list.

Piper explained that of those licenses that are permanent, about 23 of them are licensed to people who are in Alta year round. The remaining 18 permanent licenses are issued to people who are living in Alta for shorter periods of time.

Tracy is concerned about those times when events are being held like the Wildflower Festival. During those times people bring their licensed dogs and she does not think this is appropriate because it is hard to explain to people why those people have a right and others do not.

Dave Richards wanted to know why it would be inappropriate to bring your licensed dog to these gatherings.

Tracy opined that Alta has a special role when it comes to watershed protection. Dave then opined that Watershed should enforce their rules.

Piper also opined that when an event like this is taking place and people see, for example, ten dogs on a leash, it is hard for some people to believe the sign at the bottom of the canyon and entering the Town that says no dogs allowed.

Dave understands the concept of perception but he does not believe that we can punish dog owners in Alta for not breaking the rule.

Cliff recognizes the issue of perception but it is not a basis for taking away the rights of dog owners in Alta.

The Mayor asked that everyone use discretion when walking or exercising their dogs. Dave encouraged Watershed to be in the canyon to help educate people on these rules.

7. Discussion on a proposal to create a Special Service District to provide or enable winter transportation and/or transportation services to the existing Albion Basin cabins.

The Mayor stated that this issue has been discussed over the course of the last several Town Council meetings. The issue of establishing a Special Service District (SSD) was initiated by James Burr, a cabin owner in the Basin and he has been assisted by Scott Martin, who represents two cabins owners in the Basin.

The Mayor commented that he has spent some time with representatives from the Forest Service on this issue. The Town has also submitted a letter last month to the Forest Service on this matter. The Mayor opined that this is a complex issue and the Forest

Services did a lot of research on this issue before they made their decision. The Forest Service also initiated a temporary mid-day window for a few winters.

The Mayor went on to opine that there is not a decision that can be made on this matter that does not adversely affect one of the parties.

Steve Gilman opined that it is really hard to justify a SSD in a Town of 383 people that will serve what amounts to 12 homeowners in the Town. Steve went on to opine that another layer of government on top of what everyone already has does not make sense.

Paul Moxley was not ready to comment on this matter, as he was out of town for last month's meeting and does not feel totally up to date.

The Mayor commented that in the last Council meeting he heard that the SSD would be providing transportation over land that they do not have any jurisdiction over and it is not clear as to the designation of the route. Is the property along this route private or public property and would the SSD have to procure easements.

Dave Richards referred to Section 4 which he then read into the record (see attached). In Dave's opinion this section states that the SSD would have authority to provide access over federal land and he believes we would not have that authority. Although the SSD proposal states that it is created exclusively for this transportation issue, in the last months meeting there was mention of the many uses this SSD could be used for such as RS2477 claims and its ability to provide other services.

Paul asked if it was a matter that we do not foresee any circumstance under which it would work or it is a matter that as presently drafted it does not work. Paul opined that he is not willing at this point in time to say that it will not work. He would like to understand it better before he makes final comments.

The Mayor opined that the creation of this SSD is not in the best interest of the entire community. Dave continued by saying that he would like to pursue ways to make it work for those that live in the Basin but he is not comfortable with the way the proposal is currently written.

The Mayor continued by opining that when he has talked to the Forest Service they have indicated that the SSD approach is not the only way to move forward with the transportation issue into the Basin. The Mayor stated that he is personally not comfortable with moving forward with the proposal.

For the Town Council to move forward, the Council needs to have an intent resolution that states that the Town would like to initiate the process. If the Council chooses not to go in this direction, there is another option: citizens can initiate the process.

Cliff Curry opined that the homeowners could just as effectively represent themselves as a Homeowners Association (HOA). Cliff recognizes that this issue is important for the

homeowners in the Basin and he referenced a letter written in 1981 and asked that the letter be taken more seriously by the Alta Ski Area and the Forest Service. Cliff also recognizes the importance of both the Alta Ski Area and the Forest Service as relates to public safety and promoting the ski area business in the town. Cliff opined, though, that this community does not need another governmental body (SSD) in the Town and the interests of the homeowners in the Basin can be just as easily addressed by creating another organization like a HOA.

Scott Martin thanked the Town for continuing the discussion on this matter by putting it on the agenda for this meeting. Scott opined that this is an extraordinary issue that affects the safety of everybody and he took issue with the Mayor's statement that there is no solution that is not going to hurt somebody. Scott felt that there is a solution that can favor everybody and the SSD is the mechanism by which it can be done.

Scott went on to address the draft resolution and stated that it is just that: a draft. Scott explained that this group is willing to sit down and make changes to this proposal. Scott went on to opine that the reason this SSD is necessary is three fold. First, the SSD can be the recipient of the Special Use Permit to the extent that the homeowners in the Basin can get some midday window access to the homes. Second, the SSD can be a funding mechanism to make the solution better; signs, lights and potentially an alternate route. Third and the most important thing is the insurance issue. If we are a governmental unit like an SSD we can avail ourselves with insurance limits that are commensurate with the risk presented. Scott used the ULGT as an example of an insurance pool that is available to an organization such as a SSD.

Scott stated that he recognizes and is sensitive to the fact that the Town does not want another governmental overlay such as this SSD in such a small community. He went on to state that in his opinion this organization can be totally controlled by the Town Council and can serve a very isolated purpose. He would be willing to look at Section 4 that is of concern to Dave Richards.

Scott explained that the homeowners have also had discussions with the Forest Service and the Forest Service views this as not their problem but instead would like to be the facilitators of the solution.

Scott opined that if the Town Council adopts this resolution they are not adopting the SSD. All the Town Council would be initiating is, in Scott's opinion, a very benign process whereby notice is given and a public hearing is offered. Scott went on to state that if after the process, the SSD falls flat, then so be it; they gave it a shot.

Dave Richards asked if the Town Council could hold hearings on this matter without adopting the resolution. It was Scott's opinion that there are two ways to initiate the process to hold a public hearing: 1) by adoption of a resolution of intent, or, 2) by gathering 10% of the property owner's signatures. It is only after one of these two avenues is undertaken that a dedicated public hearing could be held specific to this question.

Steve Gilman commented that the Town Council has in fact had public discussion over the course of the last three meetings on this issue.

Kimberly Chytraus explained that there are two ways to entertain a SSD. The Town Council can have as much discussion as they like about this subject. But if the Town is going to initiate the process, under Utah Law, the Town has to adopt a resolution that makes certain findings. One of those findings is the Town declares that the public health, convenience and necessity require that the Town form this SSD. This language is found in the proposed resolution which has been in front of the Council. If the Town Council passes such a resolution, there is a formal requirement that the Council has to hold a public hearing. The other way this process can move forward is for the homeowners to initiate a petition.

Cliff clarified that in order for the Town to hold a public hearing they first have to make certain findings in a resolution or the homeowners can start the process which would result in the same requirement; a public hearing would be held.

Cliff went on to comment that the ability to secure liability insurance may in fact be a compelling reason to form an SSD, but Cliff does not think that reason has been presented to the Town Council.

Scott Martin went on to opine that the reason the SSD statute was enacted was it provides a mechanism to provide service for an isolated group of residents that need a service. It also allows that cost of providing the service to be put on the members of the community that are receiving said services.

Scott went on to request that the Town Council give this matter careful consideration and also asked that that the matter stay on the agenda. It would be helpful if the Town Council would make a definitive decision which would provide some guidance to the homeowners in the Basin. Scott also requested that the letter sent by Jim Burr to Kate Black be part of the record of this meeting.

The Mayor stated that today, the Town Council cannot move forward with the intent resolution to create the SSD. Based on that statement, the Mayor went on to opine that if the homeowners wish to initiate the petition process or continue this dialogue with the Town Council, they may.

Paul Moxley asked if the big hang up was the language in the resolution that states that the creation of the SSD is in the best interest of the health and welfare of the community. Paul also wanted to know if the Council felt the matter is too complicated and also asked if there were too many unanswered questions like insurance. He opined that maybe there should be more discussion on this issue.

Cliff opined that it is not a good idea to create another governmental body when there is something that the property owners in the Basin may very well be able to do just as well by themselves.

Steve Gilman concurred with Cliff's opinion on this matter. Steve went on to state that he would like to see some insurance comparisons but he is still not convinced that a HOA can't do the same things that a governmental agency can do.

The Mayor opined that the insurance issue might be a compelling issue but he has a problem with this issue in general.

Dan Gates, a homeowner in the Basin, opined that winter transportation has been an ongoing problem for a long time and as homeowner, he is trying various avenues to provide a win-win situation for the ski area and the homeowners. Mr. Gates gets the feeling that the homeowners are being pushed aside because the ski area is the big entity in town.

Dan feels that the SSD is one of the easiest and effective ways to address the issue of winter travel. Dan also commented that there are a lot more than 12 homeowners in the Basin that could benefit and that are involved in pursuing an SSD.

Scott Martin opined that the reason the SSD would be more beneficial over a HOA is that it can be a very defined area and people can opt in if they would like to be part of the SSD. Also, what comes with the SSD is the ability to tax and in Scott's opinion, the long term solution to this situation is going to take money.

Piper Lever asked if a homeowner could opt out of this SSD and Scott answered by saying yes they could opt out. To date, Scott mentioned that the boundaries to this proposed SSD have not been defined. So conceivably the SSD could be a "checker board" situation where one homeowner could be in and his neighbor could opt out. If, in the future, a home is built in this area, the statute provides that this new home could annex into the SSD.

Cliff reminded that the process of opting in or out of an area defined in an SSD is a statutory process and the homeowner may or may not get their way.

The Mayor felt that it is not the intent of the Town Council to initiate the process of creating an SSD at this time. Paul clarified his opinion by opining that there is a major issue with the Town Council making a finding that the SSD is in the "general welfare" of the Town. Dave Richards opined by stating that by holding a public hearing on this matter, there might be individuals that would protest the formation of this district and those people should be heard.

Paul asked Scott Martin if he would just as soon hear "no" from the Town Council now so he can begin the petition process. Scott replied that of course he would prefer plan

“A” where the Town Council would adopt an intent resolution. However, Scott continued, if they go with plan “B” the homeowners get to the same place.

It was agreed that the Town Council would leave this issue on the table to discuss.

9. Closed door meeting to discuss litigation matters.

The Mayor announced that there will be no closed door meeting today.

10. Citizen Input

The Mayor asked that everyone keep their comments to five (5) minutes and address something new.

Mark Haik agreed with Mr. Martin that there are other solutions here and it is not all a lose-lose situation. The Town Council can find a solution to solve this problem and one of those solutions is to conduct a thorough review of the Town’s actions on the access questions in the Basin. Mark urged the Town Council read the meeting minutes from the 1970’s. Mr. Haik read from a June 1975 Town Council meeting Mayor’s report where Mayor Levitt mentioned that “B & C road funds had been requested and we should receive \$300 to \$400. This is for County roads. Albion Basin is a County road.” Subsequent to this meeting, Mr. Haik commented, there are numerous other meeting minutes which review the Town of Alta’s pursuit of B & C road funds. Mr. Haik urged the Council to review all the meeting minutes from the Town Council meetings in the 70’s and also review the Forest Service research on the Albion Basin road issue. The Council should then publically explain how the Albion Basin road got to be something other than a County or State road.

Karen Travis asked Marcus if the sales tax collected and duly noted in the treasurer’s report was for a quarter or just December. Marcus confirmed that the collection was for December only. The YTD 2010 sales tax collections are up around 3% from the same time period in 2009.

Karen also wanted the Council to consider that if a person receives a dog tag, they must be a property owner or a voting resident.

Karen went on to comment, that as it relates to the proposed mountain coaster, she is opposed to the bridge concept because it is below the bypass road entrance and would cut off access to Alta if something happened. She went on to opine that if the bridge is the approved option, that the Town go on record saying that Snowbird should put a tunnel in or make the bridge a draw bridge in case heavy equipment or emergency equipment needs to come to Alta. The Mayor responded by saying that the Town has expressed concern about the bridge in a letter to UDOT.

The Mayor commented that he has heard that Snowbird, due to feedback from the public, might consider a tunnel.

Sara Bennett, a property owner in the Sugarplum Village, opined that there are a lot of concerns being expressed about the roller coaster proposal and she was wondering why the Town did not feel the need to notify property owners about this proposal. Ms. Bennett went on to say that she felt that the property owners in this area of the Town stand to be seriously impacted by this development. Ms. Bennett encouraged the Town Council to seriously consider making some kind of statement to the County. Sara noted that Salt Lake City has written another letter dated March 1st to the County. She opined that many of the residents in this area feel that the process has not been black and white and it seems to Sara that it was clearly engineered to limit public input. Sara went on to opine that there are serious questions about the County even following their own process rules and feels the Town should raise objections to how the process was handled. Also the proposed coaster will be in direct ear shot of the Sugarplum Village which could significantly impact their summer time enjoyment. Sara feels that they need some public representation from the Town on this issue.

The Mayor opined that in retrospect the Town might not have provided notice to the property owners in the Town but even though the Town was copied in a letter from the County on this matter. The hearing notice came in over the Christmas holidays with very short notice for the public hearing that was held by the County on this matter.

Kate Ferguson, a property owner at Sugarplum, also commented that the only reason she knew about the public hearing was that she has a friend that is a reporter for KSL. She felt that the proposal went through the process really quickly and no one knew about it. She felt that it was intentional on Snowbird's part and her biggest fear is the precedent that the coaster will set. Ms. Ferguson asked the Town Council if they felt there was anything the property owners should and could be doing on this matter.

Maura Olivos asked if anyone had considered the amount of noise pollution this mountain coaster would produce. It appears that this issue had not been studied in their proposal.

Maura opined that as it relates to dog permits in the Town, there should be a requirement that dog owners be homeowners or prove that they are residents of the Town. She went on to opine that maybe the dog owners should be required to live in the Town for six months or more and those folks get first choice on the licenses. In addition the Town should require a letter from the homeowner if a renter lives in that home and wants a dog license.

Piper explained that the requirement of a letter is already in the ordinance. Piper went on to explain that if a person moves out of town but commutes to work in Alta everyday, there is a class in the ordinance that addresses this situation. Also, people must forfeit their license if they move away and don't work in the canyon. Piper went on to explain the different classes of dog licenses outlined in the ordinance.

Dave Richards, after reading the letter from Mayor Becker on the coaster issue, opined that it was a very strong letter.

11. Motion to adjourn

Hearing no further business before the Town Council, there was a motion by Dave Richards to adjourn the meeting with a second by Steve Gilman. A vote was taken and the motion was carried.

Passed and approved this 14 day of April, 2011

Katherine S.W. Black/s
Town Clerk

TREASURER'S REPORT

11-Mar-10

MAJOR BILLS PAID

<u>Payroll</u>	<u>\$33,175.54</u>
<u>Payroll Taxes</u>	<u>\$13,286.93</u>
<u>Attorneys</u>	<u>\$8,561.04</u>
<u>Health Dental and Life Insurance</u>	<u>\$10,694.04</u>
<u>Inspections/Plan Checks</u>	<u>\$1,004.15</u>
<u>Monthly Recycling Service</u>	<u>\$1,400.00</u>
<u>Traffic Metering</u>	<u>\$4,825.00</u>
<u>Other Town Bills</u>	<u>\$11,405.40</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>\$84,352.10</u>

MAJOR REVENUES RECEIVED

<u>Sales Tax/Uniform - December Collections</u>	<u>\$34,660.77</u>
<u>Sales Tax/Resort - December Collections</u>	<u>\$92,755.48</u>
<u>Property Taxes</u>	<u>\$1,452.25</u>
<u>Court Fines</u>	<u>\$2,785.00</u>
<u>Dog Licenses</u>	<u>\$375.00</u>
<u>Post Office Contract</u>	<u>\$1,166.67</u>
<u>UPD/Alta Central Contract</u>	<u>\$13,407.44</u>
<u>Energy Taxes</u>	<u>\$6,514.32</u>
<u>Other Revenues</u>	<u>\$163.48</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>\$153,280.41</u>

General Fund Checking
General Fund Savings

\$13,742.78
\$224,587.00